

## Master Clinician Award for Excellence in Patient Care Scoring Form

<b>Reviewer Name:</b>	
<b>Applicant First Name:</b>	
<b>Applicant Last Name:</b>	
<b>Assign points based on the evidence provided in the nomination packet. Evidence of quality will be found in multiple places throughout the application. Please use the entire range of points within each scoring category, as appropriate.</b>	
<b>1. Clinical quality and recognition (0 – 40 points)</b> Recognition as a master clinician by colleagues and others, being a “go-to” clinician in area of expertise, local or national reputation, high customer or patient satisfaction metrics, or awards and recognition for clinical excellence.	
<b>2. High standards of professionalism (0-20 points)</b> As described in letters or reflected by awards and other activities in CV.	
<b>3. Dissemination of knowledge (0-10 points)</b> Case reports or other clinical publications; major roles in clinical conferences or CME; publications or other outcomes in quality improvement; service as committee member or peer reviewer (including in hospital-based settings); evidence of effective teaching and communication with staff and trainees; development of new materials, protocols, pathways or guidelines used beyond own institution	
<b>4. Quality improvement and patient safety (0-10 points)</b> Use of evidence-based medicine in practice; participation in collaborative clinical care; implementation of disease management program; local care path development or championship; developed or enhanced innovations to improve patient care	
<b>5. Leadership in clinical settings (0-10 points)</b> Roles in professional organizations (local, state, national); leadership role in a Baylor clinical program, division, service etc. beyond providing clinical care (e.g., role in clinical trials, medical service chief, chief of staff, medical director); hospital committees; development of a new line of care or clinical service; innovative application or development of novel technology, tool, strategy or policy	
<b>6. Productivity or Financial Acumen (0-10 points)</b> Meets appropriate standards of productivity as established by department or area of specialization, implemented improvements in resource management or efficiency, provided leadership in management and financial areas.	
<i>Press "enter" or "return" after entering each score to calculate the total awarded points (out of a possible 100).</i>	
<b>TOTAL POINTS:</b> <b>0</b> (=100)	
<b>9. Comments for consideration by committee.</b>	